



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/577,545

09/11/2006

Ib Helmer Nielsen

PATRADE

2263

7590

03/31/2009

James C. Wray
1493 Chain Bridge Road
Suite 300
McLean, VA 22101

EXAMINER

LEUNG, KA CHUN A

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

3741

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

03/31/2009

PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Interview Summary	Application No. 10/577,545	Applicant(s) NIELSEN, IB HELMER	
	Examiner Ka Chun Leung	Art Unit 3741	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Ka Chun Leung. (3) ____.

(2) James Wray. (4) ____.

Date of Interview: 23 March 2009.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☐ No.
If Yes, brief description: ____.

Claim(s) discussed: ____.

Identification of prior art discussed: Winkle (U.S. Patent 5,698,031).

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☒ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: It was noted by the Applicant's representative that U.S. 5,698,031 was not cited in the previous PTO-892. The reference was first presented and discussed in the Office Action dated 09/03/2008, however the reference was inadvertently omitted from the Notice of References Cited. The reference in question has now been properly cited in the attached PTO-892.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Ka Chun Leung/ Examiner, Art Unit 3741	
--	--